

MESSAGE FROM THE EDITORS

During the editorial process of preparing the 1995 Autumn issue of the *Record*, we inadvertently added the word “which” on page 64 in the sixth line of the second paragraph of the article entitled “*Director of Investigation and Research v. Southam: Where Do We Go From Here?*” by C.J. Michael Flavell, Q.C. and Christopher J. Kent. By inserting the word “which”, the meaning of the sentence was changed to indicate that the Federal Court of Appeal held that market definition was a question of law and not one of fact in *Upper Lakes Group v. Canada (National Transportation Agency)* which is not correct. Readers should note that the Federal Court of Appeal held in the *Southam* case that market definition was a question of law and not one of fact. We apologize for the error.

R.T.H. and D.P.L.

